

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1892

File No. 85 of 92

191.

Serial Nos. 1-4

Later references.

(LR.)
O

P-24

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-4

Part B for

SUBJECT.

Sale of the right of Kuranga stones in Kana-
Kanahalli taluk.

Indexed

As.

Commissioner

CHIEF SECRETARY'S OFFICE.

REVENUE BRANCH.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT.

*Sale of the right of Collecting Kuranga
or Whet-Stones in Kankanhalli
Taluk. Bangalore District*

LAST REFERENCE.

Letter No. 1292 dated 29 May 1893
from Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore Dist.

On the 15th June 1892, the right
of Collecting Kuranga or whet-Stones
in the Kankanhalli Taluk was
Sold by public auction to one
Sharibkhan alias bhabu-
miya of Kankanhalli for
Rs 150 . The purchaser, who
paid the usual deposit of
Rs 40, failed to pay up the
balance of the purchase
money. A notice was
Served on him in July 1892,

and

and when his house was attached on the 24th December 1892. The purchaser Sharibkhan petitioned the Deputy Commissioner requesting him to order the ^{release} ~~redemption~~ of his property and to refund to him the sum of Rs 40 deposited by him, on the grounds that he was not able to collect any stones as no stones were found in the Taluk and that he bought ^{in the Taluk} with the hope of getting stones.

2. The Amildar on enquiring supports the petitioner's allegation that no stones were collected by him and says that it is doubtful if they could be found in his Taluk, as this is the first time ^{the Contract for} in which [^] this stone was sold.

3. Now the Deputy Commissioner
submit

Submits the whole correspondence and recommends ~~for~~ the remission of the Sale amount and refund of the deposit money, on the ground that this was the first time the Bab was sold and was purchased by Sharib-Kan with the hope of getting the Stones and the purchaser was disappointed.

4. It is not clear from the papers submitted, and the point is open to doubt, as to how the Bab, which the Amildar now says is not in existence, was sold and purchased by the defaulter and why the Amildar did not take immediate steps to recover the balance of the purchase money within 15 days from the date of Sale, which was held on the 15 June 1892. Even granting that the Sale was

confirmed

Confirmed by the Deputy Commr.
on the 27th June 1892, the interval
between the date of the 1st notice
in July⁺ 1892 demanding payment
of the balance of the purchase
~~and~~ money and the date on
which the defaulter's property
was attached was objectionably
long, and the absence of any
enquiry as to the existence
or otherwise of Kuranga Stones
in the Taluk until the defaulter
put in an application for
release
the ~~redemption~~ of his property
is inexcusable.

* The 2nd notice is dated 12th October 1892

* 24th Decr 1892.

5. Under all the circum-
stances of the case, however,
the amount deposited by the
defaulter may, if approved,
be forfeited to Government
and the balance written
off the accounts.

Submitted for orders.

A. J.
10/6

P. J. O.

6. On 10th March 1892 one Narayan Rao of the Gori bionur taluk offered Rs 10 for the exclusive privilege of collecting Kuranga stones in the Kankam - halli taluk during the year 92-93.

7. The Amildar issued Sale Notice & sold the privilege by public auction on 2nd May 1892. The following persons bid at the sale -

1. Chemappaia
2. Ramappaia
3. Venkataappa
4. Basave gauda
5. Appaji
6. Narayan Rao
7. Lachmaia -

and the highest bid was that of Narayan Rao for Rs 151. The Dep^y Com^r ordered a second sale, which was held on 15th June. 92. The bidders at the 2nd sale were -

1. Srinivas shetti
2. Narasimhaia
3. Krishnaia
4. Lachmaia
5. Narayan Rao
6. Chaboo sabi
7. Khader Khan
8. Nanjundaia -

Narayan Rao bid up to Rs 165.

The next & last bid was that of Chaboo Sab.

8 One of the conditions of sale was that the balance of purchase money should be paid within 7 days from the date of confirmation of sale by the Dy. Commr.

9 The Amildar received on 20. July the Dy. Commr's orders confirming the sale. The purchaser was required on 8.8. July to pay the balance of purchase money. On 25.7.92 the Sherkdar reported that the purchaser was making payment. In October 92 a regular notice was issued demanding payment. It was served on the defaulter on 24th November & his house attached on 24th Decr. About 7th Jan^y 1893 Chaboo Sab petitioned the Dy. Commr.

(1) That the Amildar sent for him & others on the 15th June 92 (date of 20. sale) and assured them that there were Kuranga stones in the Taluk.

(2) That he dug in some places in vain with the hope of finding the stone.

(3) & that the deposit might be

Why was not a second sale held?

How were these proceedings instituted without resort to a second sale?

14/7

Submitted -

The Progs. throw no light on the above points.

May the Dy. Commr be requested to explain matters further.

14/7/93

Indragit G. G. G. 14/7

Memo in Continuation

12 In this office No. 1109 dated
RT 175
14th July 1893, the Deputy Commr.
was requested to explain why
on failure of the purchaser
to pay up the balance of
purchase money, as per terms
of sale, the Bab was not put
up to fresh sale before Co-
ercive measures were resort-
-ed to for recovery of any
money from the defaulter, &

to No 7275 27-12-03.

was in future

13. The Deputy Commr.
Subunits Cloppett Sub Division
officer's Memo No. 293 dated
23rd December 93 explaining
the reasons for not selling
the Bab again and states that
the existence of the Stone is
~~but~~ ^{not} known to the people,
who were deceived at the
time of sale, by the eagerness

of the outsiders' bids, and
that the outsider at whose
instance the bab was sold
could not be found.

Submitted for orders
with reference to paras 3, 4
and 5 of this memo.

JS
9/2/94

Subd
15/2/94

The Dy (recommutation)
'where para 3) is struck
as a special case

~~JS~~ 5-

~~JS~~ 5-
recommutation
Appd.

Drafted
A.H. 8/5/94

(General Serial No. 30.)

From

14043
1892/93
The Dy Commr's Office
Bangalore District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

LR
85-92-1

Dated 29th
Despatched 30th } July 1893.

Received

Enclosures No. Per: Paper

General No.

No. 1292

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Recommends the re-
-mission of the sale
amount to the right
of collecting Kuvanga
a Whet Stones in the
Kankankully Taluk
purchased by one
Sharib Khan alias
Chabu Muzi of Kankankully

20 The same as 1104 27/14/7/93
R7175

References (to be entered only in the
Dewan's Office).

Rev Mr
30/5
Subd into Memo for no.

1893

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

LR
85-92-2

To

The D. C. Bangalore Distt

Dated 14th July 93.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 1109

No.

~~R 7-175-192~~ R 192.

Calling for explanation
on certain point in the matter
of the remission of the Sale amount
of the right of collecting
Kuvanga Stone in the Kan-
-Kanhalli Taluk recommended
by the Dy Commr.

References to be marked.

Recd on 21/7/93.
by the Record Paper.
Issued on 22/7.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893-94
From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 29th December/93.
Despatched 15th January 1894
Received

Enclosures No. 12 a file

General No.

No. 727.

In reply to

Ch. Secy's No. 1109 of the
14th July 1893 R.F. 175-92
SUBJECT.

Submits explanation
in re remission of the sale
amount of the right of
collecting Kuranga Stones in
the Kankambully Taluk

P. 4/11

2/11

Subd. Secy
in charge
R.D.
9/2/94

References (to be entered only in the
Dewan's Office).

To

The same No. 19531 & 12/5/94
R.F. 17592

S.C.

16/7/94.

R
9/11

Read,

Letter No. 1292 of 29th

May 1893 from the Dy

LR

85-92-4 Comr. Bangalore Dist,

recommending permis-
sion of the sale amount
of Rs 160, being the bid
at an auction of the
right of collecting Kura
stones in the Kankar-
halli Taluk by one
Sharif Khan alias
Chaburneah. who de-
posited Rs 40 which
sum also is recommen-
ed for ^{refund} ~~rescission~~

also subsequent

Read Correspondence

ending with letter No.

727 of 29th Feb. Dec

1893 from the same

explaining that this was the first time in which the right was sold and that the ~~fact~~ existence of the Kuranga Stone in the Taluk was not known to the people who were led away by the eagerness of an outside bids &c.

Rept.
 Wt. J. G.
 Rev. Committee
 Canning
 J. G.
 9/5

Order thereon.

No. 19531 D/ 12.5-94
 R 175-92 15

The Deputy Commissioner's recommendation is sanctioned as a special case.

W. P. In June 1892

one ~~Shankar~~ ^{Shankar} purchased at a Public Auction the right of collecting Kuranga stones in 1892-93 for Rs 100 & deposited Rs 40. He did not pay the remainder, & has

To ^{Suby} 8/5 Chief Secretary
 The Deputy Commissioner
 Bangalore Dist.
 Returning the enclosures
 recd with last letter ^{readable}
 The ^{Sanctioned} ~~Sanctioned~~ to the ^{Sanctioned} ~~Sanctioned~~

30/5